

United States Patent and Trademark Office

w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/519,229	12/20/2004	Seiji Hiraka	30391-19	7303
7590 10/10/2006		EXAMINER WENDLER, ERIC J		
Mitchell P Brook Luce Forward Hamilton & Scripps 11988 El Camino Real Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92130				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2824	
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/519,229	HIRAKA, SEIJI
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Eric Wendler	2824
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any o	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply but apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. se timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 D</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in Appli	cation No eived in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/20/04 and 7/6/06.	4) ☐ Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) ☐ Notice of Inform 6) ☑ Other: <i>EAST se</i>	ail Date nal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/519,229 Page 2

Art Unit: 2824

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to the following communications: the Application filed on December 20, 2004, and the Information Disclosure Statements filed on December 20, 2004, and July 6, 2006.

2. Claims 1-12 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 9, 12, are independent claims.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. JP 2002-179902, filed on June 20, 2002.

Information Disclosure Statement

7. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on December 20, 2004, and July 6, 2006, are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and have been considered by the examiner.

Specification

8. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure. The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," "Disclosed," etc. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of this reason. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/519,229 Page 3

Art Unit: 2824

9. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the title contains a misspelling. "Momory" should be changed to --Memory--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

10. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 12 discloses a computer program. As set forth by MPEP 2106, computer programs are not physical things. They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Claim 12 must be amended to claim some sort of computer readable medium that is able to perform a computer program, or must cancel the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 1-11 are allowed.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: regarding independent claims 1, 9, the prior art fails to teach the specific process claimed whereby a controller discriminates whether new written data should replace old stored data stored in logical pages, and writes validity data to determined whether the old stored data is valid or invalid rather than erasing. Claims 1, 9, are therefore allowable over the prior art. Claims 2-8, 10-11, are dependent upon independent claims 1, 9, and are therefore also allowable.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,229 Page 4

Art Unit: 2824

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Robinson et al. (5,428,579), Nakamura et al. (5,761,122), Korsh et al. (5,901,089), Hiraka (5,987,573), and Srinivasan (6,865,122), all teach memory systems for writing and erasing blocks within flash memories.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Wendler whose telephone number is (571) 272-5063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

10/1/01 EJW

RICHARD ELMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800